



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/086,821	05/29/1998	MARCO LARA	ATV-004	8789
21323 7	590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER	
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP			SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI	
HIGH STREE	- ···		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	A 02110		2157	· *** · *** ·
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/086,821	LARA ET AL.				
, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Salad E Abdullahi	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate ex unt of the fee. The appropriate e priginally set in the final Office ac	extension extension ction; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amend	ment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	,			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6,16 and 25-33</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/086,821

Art Unit: 2157

Applicant alleges "Hu fails to disclose or suggest Redirecting by that web server at least one browser request from that web server to another web server". Examiner respectfully disagrees, because Hu discloses a system for distributing user requests among plurality content servers 106 and 102. For example request manager 102 which also can act as a content server receives request and redirects that request to another content server (the same content server 102 who receives the request is the one that redirects the request to another content server)(see col. 5, lines 3-8 and col. 12, lines 35-42).

Furthermore, applicant alleges examiner's characterization is incorrect of Hu same content server which receives a request is one the one that redirects the request to another content server. To support such argument applicant further alleges Hu fails to teach elements that are not in the claim language such as "There is no suggestion anywhere in Hu that, in a multiprocessor system, these two functions are performed by a single application. Because Hu does not suggest that the content server application is itself capable of redirecting, Hu's system would not allow redirection to occur independently of whether the request manager application crashes or fails. In other words, because Hu teaches that a separate request manager application performs the redirecting, Hu's content server application is held captive to the availability of the resource manager application" (see page 3, lines 14-21).

Examiner respectfully disagrees applicant assertion that "Hu fails to disclose or suggest Redirecting by that web server at least one browser request from that web server to another web server", because Hu teaches "The client request is handled by

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 09/086,821

Art Unit: 2157

the various software components of network request manager 102: server module 202, rules module 204, policy modules 206, and connection module 208. Network request manager 102 responds to the client request with either the results of servicing the request, or with information which will allow client 104 to contact directly (i.e., "redirection information") the content server 106 selected to service the request" (see fig. 3 and col. 6, lines 11-23).

SUPERVISORY PATERITY